From: Grace Haden <grace@verisure.co.nz>
Sent: Wednesday, 14 March 2018 4:12 p.m.
To: 'Urlich, Luke' <Luke.Urlich@justice.govt.nz>

Subject: RE: Translegal

Yes I feel totally intimidated by the action of the pspla in taking the grossly vexatious complaint from Holm

There is absolutely no reason why I should have to be in wellington Next week and I believe that the authority this one and the lat one make up the rules to suit themselves and are inconsistent with their decisions.

I will not be silenced by the authority my blogging had nothing to do with the my Pi Licence

It is unsafe to hold a COA and licence and since so many people investigate without one I am not going to put myself under any obligation to such a corrupt establishment

I simply will be an investigative consultant and work so that I do not infringe on the act.

I have wasted enough time on this shit Holm is concealing corruption telling lies and I am the one who is brought before a disciplinaty tribunal

I will seek a ministerial review on what has occurred it is simply wrong.

I have no desire to hold COA

Regards Grace Haden



Phone (09) 520 1815

mobile 027 286 8239

visit us at www.verisure.co.nz

From: Urlich, Luke < Luke.Urlich@justice.govt.nz > Sent: Wednesday, 14 March 2018 3:55 p.m.

To: Grace Haden < grace@verisure.co.nz >

Subject: RE: Translegal

Good afternoon Grace,

Thank you for your email.

Can you please confirm that you are withdrawing your new application for a Certificate of Approval?

PSPLA 007417 / 2017

By doing so, you will no longer be in PSPLA jurisdiction, and the hearing next week will be vacated.

Kind regards



Luke Urlich

Case Manager | Private Security Personnel Licensing Authority P +64 4 462 6684 | Ext 46684 L1 AMP Building | 86-90 Customhouse Quay, Wellington DX SX11159 | Wellington | Luke.urlich@justice.govt.nz

From: Grace Haden [mailto:grace@verisure.co.nz]
Sent: Wednesday, 14 March 2018 3:44 p.m.
To: Urlich, Luke < Luke. Urlich@justice.govt.nz>

Subject: RE: Translegal

With my appeal abandoned I no longer have a licence or a coa

I have no obligation to the PSPLA

Regards Grace Haden



Because truth matters

Phone (09) 520 1815 mobile 027 286 8239 visit us at www.verisure.co.nz

From: Urlich, Luke < Luke.Urlich@justice.govt.nz > Sent: Wednesday, 14 March 2018 3:18 p.m.
To: Grace Haden < grace@verisure.co.nz >

Subject: RE: Translegal

Good afternoon Grace,

Thank you for your emails today.

It has been a really busy day here.

I have forwarded your emails to the Authority for her consideration.

Will be in touch.

Kind regards Luke From: Grace Haden [mailto:grace@verisure.co.nz]
Sent: Wednesday, 14 March 2018 11:59 a.m.
To: Urlich, Luke < Luke. Urlich@justice.govt.nz >

Subject: Translegal

In 2012 Verisure Investigations was put into liquidation when Translegal based on a false affidavit from an employee of translegal.

Translegal were ordered to publish an apology on their web site, this appeared briefly and was then removed , I still have this on line http://www.transparency.net.nz/wp-content/uploads/2016/11/translegal-apology.pdf

They were also told to ensure that documents were filed with the companies office to acknowledge their negligence .

I assisted a lady near Dargaville who had the same experience and with my help the document server spent 6 months behind bars. <u>Translegal document server jailed</u>.

I made a complaint to the PSPLA and the matter did not even go to a hearing

Neither was it of any "public Interest "that this private investigator firm was twice caught out preparing affidavits and having them sworn despite being totally false

I am very much aware of the double standards of the authority but submit that if translegal can put their name to false affidavits and be considered action independent of their licence then. I too in blogging on anticorruption and Transparency as director of the companies which own an operate the sites am completely disassociated with my work as a private investigator.

As such the authority has no ability to command me to a Disciplinary hearing where she wishes to question me

There is provision in the act for an investigation and provision for her to decide if the complaint is vexatious

I submit that there is no evidence the complaint is genuine, there is no evidence to support the claims and there is lots of evidence to suggest the maliciousness of the complaint.

I cannot travel to the hearing next week and I will not allow myself to be in the same room as Holm

My renewal of my COA is still up in the air and I ask that the authority , in light of the further threats of defamation and the apparent tit for tat nature of the complaint I believe that an objective decision on the papers will determine that the complaint is vexatious and is using he authority to harass an intimidate .

I feel suitably harassed and ask that the same rules which were applied to the very serious conduct of translegal are also applied to me .

You just need to look at the web page of Translegal they promote being private investigators and document servers. They swear false affidavits and it apparently has nothing to do with their licence.

My occupation is a private investigator but I am also a director of other companies and my Privat investigator status does not relate to functions which are excluded by the act section 5 (4)

Due to the stress that this on going witch hunt is causing I will not be at the hearing, I do not believe that the authority has any jurisdiction over my private life

I will be publishing what ever I like in my capacity as private citizen , the authority has no jurisdiction to prohibit me from doing anything in my private life .

I look forward to confirmation that there will be no hearing and that the complaint is vexatious

I am aware of section 27 BORA and will take the entire conduct of the pspla over the past two years to judicial review as I do not believe that the authority is acting constantly with all licence and COA holders.

Those with criminal convictions and those who file false affidavits get to be PIs, but whistle blowers are unreasonably dealt with .

Ms Mc Connell has to comply with the act she cannot use the forum of the disciplinary hearing as a dispute resolution forum

"Ms Holm has set out the basis of her complaint sufficiently clearly in order for Ms Haden to be sufficiently informed of the nature of the complaint

Ms Holm has alleged that both Mrs Haden and Verisure Investigations are guilty of repeated and ongoing misconduct including:

- ! Passing off;
- ! Harassment;
- ! Knowingly publishing false and defamatory information about me online; and,
- ! Threats and blackmail.

and the evidence she will be relying on.

The evidence does not support these claims and additionally I s out of the time frame of the legislation and does not relate to any work arising from my capacity as defined in section 5 of the act.

In addition, I have already issued directions to ensure that neither party will be confronted at the hearing with new allegations or evidence that they have not previously had the opportunity to consider.

Having had fresh threats of defamation made against me I feel vulnerable by attending the hearing and felel that I am being set upt, the complaint is totally vexatious and its aim is to have my Licence removed because Holm perceived that it gives me credibility.

It is reasonably clear from Ms Haden's email that she has already identified the keys issues.

The key issue is that this vexatious complaint is being used to harass and intimidate me, Holm has repeated expressed her intention to deprive me of my PI licence. She bagan in 2006 and she is still on about it.

Therefore, it is not necessary for me to further clarify the issues in dispute other than to confirm that the main issues to be addressed at the hearing will be:

- Did the emails, blogs, complaints and other alleged conduct by Ms Haden occur? If so:
- Was the conduct carried out in the course of Ms Haden being a responsible employee or the business to which the licence relates? If so, No the transparency web site belongs to transparency New Zealand Limited the anticorruption web site belongs to the New Zealand independent commission against corruption Ltd, I do not work for either as a private investigator. I am a director of both and blog using my rights under bora. Freedom of expression. If translegal were not considered PIs for the false affidavits then I cant be considered to have any connection. They did not have to go to a hearing yet, this hearing was set before I even had the papers served on me.
- Does the conduct amounts to misconduct or gross negligence? There is no evidence that suggests that I did anything more than speak the truth , the PSPLA is opposed to blogging and I will not be silenced I am restricted by the Act only in so far as any work that I take on as a private investigator is concerned.

Holm has made my life hell she has destroyed everything that I valued my marriage my family and almost cost me my life. No one has ever investigated AWINZ the fraud which she maliciously covered up

I will make an application to the court today to set aside the appeal

I will not have a COA I will not have a licence and you have no jurisdiction over me

I am 65 next month. I have had nothing but shit from the PSPLA , there are massive inconsistencies, I will advise the high court as to why I am abandoning my appeal. I feel that I have been intimidated by the authority to make that decision

I will be free to blog .

We have the right and have the duty to question corruption

Regards Grace Haden



Because truth matters

Phone (09) 520 1815 mobile 027 286 8239 visit us at <u>www.verisure.co.nz</u>