

From: Grace Haden [<mailto:grace@verisure.co.nz>]

Sent: Wednesday, 19 February 2014 3:55 p.m.

To: 'Lyn.provost@oag.govt.nz'

Subject: Council premises, resources used for personal gain / misappropriation of council funds.

Good afternoon Lyn

I was privileged to have your at the council meeting yesterday when I presented to the audit and risk committee on how fraud occurs within the council

The two concerns which I touched on was the fact that the council facilities were being used by a council officer for private pecuniary gain, council has never investigated this. The same persons also ran a donation drive for their trust which had a deceptively similar brand logo to that on the council buildings.

The matter goes beyond this as I showed in the power point presentation MAF at the time had stated that it was almost impossible to see where this trust finished and where council began.

This was not accidental, this was being set up as a potential PPP. Mr Wells in a document to the then minister had stated

Medium term strategy is that the business unit of AWS will be vested in AWINZ. All the assets of the AWS (the animal refuge, plant and equipment) will be transferred or leased to the AWINZ. This transfer cannot take place until it has been through the Annual Plan process. However, the general formation and development of the Institute is not dependent on the outcome of the Annual Plan process.

It is significant that no councillors or any official other than the previous manager of dog and stock control in Waitakere city was involved.

If you are interested in investigating how council resources and logos are used for private gain then please let me know I can assist you with all the required documentation.

I believe that it is your role to deal in such matters, if I am mistaken could you please direct me to someone who can assist in investigating this type of activity as you saw yesterday Auckland council quite clearly did not care in the least and tried to do everything they could to stop me.

For the record AWINZ has no legal existence apart from its trustees, here have been at least 5 groups called AWINZ and three trusts called AWINZ, this is classic identity fraud and the use of public office for private pecuniary gain.

Regards
Grace Haden



Phone (09) 520 1815
mobile 027 286 8239
visit us at www.transparency.net.nz

From: Grace Haden [<mailto:grace@verisure.co.nz>]
Sent: Friday, 28 February 2014 6:14 p.m.
To: Lyn Provost
Subject: FW: Council premises, resources used for personal gain / misappropriation of council funds.

Good afternoon Lyn

I refer to the email below I have as yet not had a reply or acknowledgement could you please advise if this is a matter which you will be looking into. I have brought it to your attention before and it appears erroneous that the auditor general should not be interested in this type of corruption.

Do you condone council officers using the council infrastructure, staff and vehicles for their own pecuniary gain and why is that no a matter for you to look into?

Looking forward to your reply

Regards
Grace Haden



From: Lyn Provost [<mailto:Lyn.Provost@oag.govt.nz>]
Sent: Wednesday, 2 April 2014 1:34 p.m.
To: Grace Haden
Subject: RE: Council premises, resources used for personal gain / misappropriation of council funds.

Dear Ms Haden

I acknowledge receipt of your emails of 19 and 28 February 2013.

My office is well aware of your concerns about AWINZ and the Waitakere City Council, as you have raised this with us many times over the years. It is my view that we have already considered this matter sufficiently. Furthermore, from my understanding of the audit and risk committee meeting that you attended aspects of the matter have also been considered by the courts.

As my staff have previously advised you, most recently on 29 November 2012, this is not a matter my office intends to investigate further.

Regards

Lyn Provost

Lyn Provost, Controller and Auditor-General

Office of the Auditor-General *Te Mana Arotake*

Level 2, 100 Molesworth Street, Thorndon, Wellington 6011

PO Box 3928, Wellington 6140

Ph: +64 4 917 1500 Fax +64 4 917 1509

www.oag.govt.nz

This email, and any attachments to it, contain confidential information and may be legally privileged. If you are not the intended recipient, you may not use, disclose, copy or interfere with this material. We use standard virus-checking software, but accept no responsibility for viruses or anything similar in this email or any attachments.

From: Grace

Sent: Wednesday, 2 April 2014 4:57 p.m.

To: 'Lyn Provost'

Subject: RE: Council premises, resources used for personal gain / misappropriation of council funds. !

Thank you Lyn but with all due respect if you have considered the issues of AWINZ and Waitakere council over the years then you do not know what fraud is.

You employ Transparency International to give glowing reports but how about facing reality.

Corruption Ruins lives.. it has had a devastating effect on me and my family and my crime in life was to ask why Government had given law enforcement powers to a fictional organisation and why council manager was contracting to himself.

Internationally there is a tactic to crucify whistle-blowers using the courts, it is a very effective method, in this case it has been done using the funds which were raised by way of charitable donations obtained using the Waitakere city council logo and sent out with dog registration. I was at a certified fraud examiners meeting last night and again listening to Whistleblowers is promoted as one of the most effective ways of dealing with fraud.

I realise that this matter has become a bit of an embarrassment to you because it highlights the total incompetence of not just you but also the audit office and the ministry of Primary industries. I have been on this for 8 years, the reason I have held on is because it has cost me so much.

Mr Wells took me to court for alleged defamation, I have never been found guilty we skipped that bit and my defence of truth and honest opinion was stuck out. There was NO EVIDENCE and as we do not prosecute perjury in New Zealand Mr Wells was able to re write history. The reason Mr Wells took me to court was to save his own neck.

This is classic Identity Fraud. Mr Wells called himself AWINZ (animal welfare Institute Of New Zealand) he said it was a trust when no trust existed. He had written and advised on the legislation which was to facilitate his business plan which was to [amalgamate dog and stock control](#)

[with animal welfare](#). (please click on the underlined thingies called hyperlinks they open the relevant documents .. the evidence is all here)

Wells made an application to the minister for law enforcement powers using the pseudonym Animal welfare Institute of New Zealand(AWINZ) , the name was interesting but meaningless it did not belong to any organisation and the claim that it was a trust was blatantly false. Due to this , all the claims in that document were also false as no “ organisation” was making the claims . this is an offence under the crimes act .. using a document for a pecuniary advantage.. **you are condoning this criminal act.**

My latest complaint has never been considered by the court , in fact it came to light only in december of 2013 , therefore any letters of your staff in 2012 have no relevance . Nothing of what I am bringing to your attention has ever been examined by the court , the court has been used to conceal corruption beside it is for you to audit how government departments and councils contract and administer their public resources and you have been totally negligent of that duty in this case.

Vital documents have been withheld they took me 2 ½ years to obtain through the ombudsmen since I have had these documents every one including yourself has gone out of their way to ignore these documents and new evidence which came to light well after the court decision are deemed irrelevant .

I wonder if you and your staff see files with the words AWINZ and Grace Haden and just throw them to the side without looking at the very serious issues which I have raised. Is this perhaps too difficult or is it too embarrassing for you to admit to your departments incompetence ?

I believe that the issues which my complaints raise show how totally inefficient the office of the auditor general is and by ignoring my complaints **you are openly condoning the following.**

1. Making a false application to the minister [22 November 1999](#) this document in itself is a fraud on the government .. using a document for a pecuniary advantage. AWINZ does not exist it is not a legal person in any manner or form. **you are condoning this criminal act.**
2. Central government giving coercive law enforcement powers to an entity which does not exist and no one checks for its exists, even when they know it does not exist they continue to pretend that it does. **you are condoning this criminal act.**
3. MPI not having the slightest idea of what a trust is and how a trust should function, and allowing the false application to be justified because 6 years later they received a [trust deed which was signed 3 months](#) after the [application](#) was made. The fact that the people who had signed that deed had never met or made a valid decision between, was totally beside the point. **you are condoning this incompetence .**
4. MAF (now MPI) not being in possession of a trust deed with the party to whom law enforcement powers had been given and then getting a trust deed which was altered or fabricated, and ignoring this despite having this pointed out to them. [Deed provide June 2006](#) this is [the deed MAF have on file](#) **you are condoning this incompetence .**
5. Using fictions names for contracts to local and central government. [Mou Waitakere](#) & [MOU MAF](#) **you are condoning this criminal act.**

6. Council employees contracting to themselves [Mou Waitakere](#) ([Mr Wells](#) became both parties to this contract). **you are condoning this criminal act.**
7. Employees obtaining employment at council [without declaring a conflict of interest](#) **you are condoning this action .**
8. Council manager writing to the crown consenting to the use of staff and resources to fictional third parties [North shore city](#) and [Waitakere city](#) **you are condoning this action.**
9. Council managers using council resources in a manner so that the premises take on a look and feel of a private enterprise , even [MAf was confused as to where the fictional AWINZ finished and the](#) council property began . **you are condoning this criminal act.**
10. Use of [council resources to solicit donations](#) the funds of which are then misappropriated (you were there when I did my presentation) **you are condoning this criminal act.**
11. Allowing a trust set up in 2006 to pretend to be the law enforcement authority . [This trust became a charity and has used its charitable funds, obtained from the public to conceal corruption](#) **you are condoning this criminal act.**
12. The processes within the government department and councils are such that they serve to conceal fraud as the vey persons involved and implicated for their lack of diligence are put in charge of the release of information, additionally [Mr Wells was consulted on what was released](#) to me and what was not there was no impartiality between the department/ council and third parties **you are condoning this incompetent practice .**

I will send this to you privately and appeal to you to reconsider your decision .

If you stand by your decision and I have not heard back by Friday 4 pm I will post this on the internet and send it out worldwide .

You have a statutory obligation to your role as auditor general you cannot condone crime or corruption

Regards
Grace Haden



From: Grace
Sent: Tuesday, 8 April 2014 2:02 p.m.
To: 'Lyn Provost'
Subject: For reconsideration please.

Good afternoon Lynn
Yesterday I received an OIA request form the MPI

It has been a long winded mater and has taken years.

They have assured me that I have all the information and also tell me that they have no evidence to connect the applicant AWINZ to the AWINZ charity which currently exists.

The reality is that these are different persons .

It occurred to me that we have an issue of identity here in New Zealand in that the department of internal affairs puts out the literature on identity fraud and the department of internal affairs primarily deals with real people.

The disconnect is that identity fraud can occur when a person pretends to be an Organisation.

In this instance Mr Wells made an application for law enforcement powers stating he was a trustee of a trust.

No trust existed.

The trust which was created retrospectively to cover up only had one function that was to sing a deed. The trustees never met never made one decision

Auditing is not just about figures it is also about processes and the parties involved.

You have a legal obligation to be independent.

If you condone the contracting of government functions and local body resources to fictional organisations then your ability to hold office has to be in question

Before I take this a step further and in view of the disclosure by MPI I ask you to reconsider your stand as it may save some embarrassment to you and your office.

I have collated the information for easy access.

In summary

Application for approved status was a false documents. Using a document for pecuniary advantage as no trust existed.

A trust deed 1.3.2000 was concocted to give the appearance that an organisation existed. However these persons never met see the attached

Three people posing as AWINZ took the matter to court and denied me a right to a defence, no evidence was ever produced.

Those three persons plus the earlier council manager who had been working with Mr Wells formed a trust 5.12.2006 also called AWINZ they became a charity and the fraud has been to treat all of these persons as if they were one and the same organisation .

If you allow this then that opens the door to wide spread corruption and the ability to retrospectively come up with trust deeds and allow for contracting to thin air.

Regards
Grace Haden

