

From: Grace Haden [mailto:grace@verisure.co.nz]

Sent: Friday, 15 February 2013 2:29 p.m.

To: 'Wendy Brandon'

Cc: 'Doug McKay'; 'Mayor Len Brown'; 'Councillor Penny Hulse'; 'Councillor Cathy Casey'; 'Cr Northey, Richard'; 'Councillor Sandra Coney'; 'penny.webster@aucklandcouncil.govt.nz'; 'Councillor Mike Lee'; 'Councillor John Walker'; 'Councillor Sharon Stewart'; 'Councillor Michael Goudie'; 'Councillor Ann Hartley'; 'cameron.brewer@aucklandcouncil.govt.nz'; 'Chris.Fletcher@aucklandcouncil.govt.nz'; 'Catriona McDougall (Catriona.McDougall@ombudsman.parliament.nz)'; 'Councillor Alf Filipaina'; 'Councillor George Wood'; 'des.morrison@aucklandcouncil.govt.nz'; 'Councillor Calum Penrose'; 'noelene.raffills@aucklandcouncil.govt.nz'; 'Wayne Walker'; 'Dick Quax'; 'arthur.anae@aucklandcouncil.govt.nz'

Subject: RE: complaint with regards to Wendy Brandon

Wendy

I wish to clarify the fact with regards to AWINZ. I am not on a campaign and I'm not in contempt. I have asked questions from council repeatedly with regards to the right of "AWINZ" to operate from council premises.. AWINZ does not exist other it is a fiction created by the then manager of dog and stock control. I cannot be in contempt for questioning something which does not exist and something for which there is no court order.

Council has never investigated this use of council resources for private pecuniary gain and it has been covered up by both you and the pervious counsel for council.

I am the victim of gross injustice, I questioned serious corruption in council and was sued for it in circumstances where I was denied a defence and the uncorroborated evidence of the council manager is the subject of a perjury complaint.

The matter is now before the court to overturn the judgement which was obtained by fraud. The evidence to show that the judgement was obtained by fraud was evidence which the council manager sought to have withheld from me.

To get the evidence it has been like extracting hens teeth. Had Waitakere council and Auckland council conducted an investigation at any time they would have found that the council premises were being used for other than council business.

This is evident from the audit report of MAF which states *"it was at times difficult during the audit to distinguish where the structure of AWINZ finished and where WCC began hence it was at times difficult to separate the AWINZ organisation from that of WCC. For example AWINZ inspectors are not employed by AWINZ but are all employees of WCC page 9 all personnel (including the AWINZ inspectors) based at the WCC animal accommodation facility (48 the concourse) are employees of WCC It must be noted that AWINZ does not have any employees as such , apart from when they contract to the film industry to monitor AW issues, this did lead to some confusion regarding he demarcation between the two organisations"* these words are not mine they are the words of MAF source <http://www.transparency.net.nz/wp-content/uploads/2012/10/final-draft-audit-2008.pdf>

You appear to have a fixation about concealing this corruption in Waitakere and then on top of it you do business with Brookfields who are heavily involved in the court action and against whom I have lodged complaint with the LCRO and the Law society.

I make a complaint about your actions and you see it fit to have my emails diverted so that they only go to you, where does that fit in with the ethical requirements of lawyers?

Please provide me with the authority which enabled you to do divert me emails. You have an obligation to the law and as Counsel for council you have to ensure open and transparent governance as set out in the Local Government act.

I consider your actions to be a gross conflict of interest and corruption.

I am somewhat confused by your statement

I also confirm that all of the information, records, reports, correspondence and material that is the subject of your ongoing requests has been provided to you by Auckland Council and its predecessor local authority, Waitakere City Council, or it cannot be located, or it does not exist.

I know that I did not obtain everything that was in the Waitakere animal welfare file so how can you make the statement above? It appears to be a fob off to me, I am sorry if it is an inconvenience to you that I ask for transparency on a very serious matter of council corruption.

I have asked you to look at just a few documents, if you were only a half competent lawyer those documents would have had alarm bells ringing.

The evidence and the questions are set out in my email and blog entitled [Councillors kept in the dark with regards to corruption](#)

The evidence which proved that your council manager was involved in corrupting are in your own documents you have no place in protecting employees, former employees or fellow lawyers. You are paid by the public and need to protect the public from what was a perfect fraud.

I am writing to you in an effort to deal with this before taking it to the law society, I look forward to you providing answers to the following urgently Please provide me(by way of privacy act) and as a provision of the lawyers and conveyancers act rules of conduct.

1. with the authority which gave you the claim of right to divert me emails from the council computer system.
2. With copies of all my emails which did not go through to the person or persons to whom they were addressed and were intercepted by you
3. The memorandum which you sent through to the person in charge of the computer system instructing them to divert emails from me.
4. Any correspondence between yourself and Brookfields lawyers which pertains to me.
5. I would like you to address the criminal offences section 248-253 crimes act what I am looking for is your evidence that proves that you did not breach any of these provisions of the crimes act when you sought to have my emails diverted. I would also like to know how these criminal offences fit in with your interpretation that "Such diversion is entirely legitimate and simply a practical measure to ensure that your correspondence is managed appropriately"

Looking forward to your prompt reply

Regards
Grace Haden



Because truth matters

Phone (09) 520 1815
mobile 027 286 8239
visit us at www.verisure.co.nz

From: Wendy Brandon [<mailto:Wendy.Brandon@aucklandcouncil.govt.nz>]
Sent: Friday, 15 February 2013 8:57 a.m.
To: 'Grace Haden'; Doug McKay; Mayor Len Brown
Subject: RE: complaint with regards to Wendy Brandon

Dear Ms Haden

I refer to your email below. You are of course free to complain to all and sundry regarding the diversion of your email correspondence to a single point of contact within Auckland Council. Such diversion is entirely legitimate and simply a practical measure to ensure that your correspondence is managed appropriately.

I repeat my earlier advice that in my view, your carrying on your campaign in relation to AWINZ and its trustees by way of further and repeated correspondence to Auckland Council, is in breach of the orders made by the District Court, and therefore a contempt of court, and vexatious.

I also confirm that all of the information, records, reports, correspondence and material that is the subject of your ongoing requests has been provided to you by Auckland Council and its predecessor local authority, Waitakere City Council, or it cannot be located, or it does not exist. No further correspondence will be entered into with you, and all correspondence will simply be retained in council's records. Similarly, you may treat any LGOIMA requests as 'deemed refusals' and make a complaint to the Ombudsman.

However, given that I equally have better things to do than to carry on any further correspondence in relation to the diversion of your emails to a single point of contact within council, I have instructed IS to release emails from you to all addressees unless or until I am requested otherwise by any of them.

Yours sincerely

Wendy Brandon
General Counsel

From: Grace Haden [<mailto:grace@verisure.co.nz>]
Sent: Thursday, 14 February 2013 3:45 PM
To: Doug McKay; Mayor Len Brown
Subject: complaint with regards to Wendy Brandon

Hi Wendy guess this has come direct to you I want it to go to the people addressed in the top and want them to respond to me thanks

I have posted [Wendy Brandon has my emails diverted to exclude councillors](#) please click for article and further links to emails that Wendy has diverted.

I will also be approaching the law society and have brought it to the attention of the ombudsmen

I do want this matter placed before the mayor as it shows a serious conflict of interest by counsel for council.

Doug Please arrange for speaking rights at the next appropriate meeting of council so that I bring this matter up.

Regards
Grace Haden

VeriSure

Because truth matters

Phone (09) 520 1815
mobile 027 286 8239
visit us at www.verisure.co.nz



CAUTION: This email message and any attachments contain information that may be confidential and may be LEGALLY PRIVILEGED. If you are not the intended recipient, any use, disclosure or copying of this message or attachments is strictly prohibited. If you have received this email message in error please notify us immediately and erase all copies of the message and attachments. We do not accept responsibility for any viruses or similar carried with our email, or any effects our email may have on the recipient computer system or network. Any views expressed in this email may be those of the individual sender and may not necessarily reflect the views of Council.